



# Ways & Means

Countering Common Concerns:  
Prohibiting the Sale of Tobacco  
Products at Pharmacies

April 2013. Revised February 2014. All rights reserved. Public Health and Tobacco Policy Center.

## Public Health & Tobacco Policy Center

*Contact:*

Public Health Advocacy Institute  
at Northeastern University School of Law  
360 Huntington Ave, 117CU  
Boston, MA 02115  
Phone: 617-373-8494  
[tobacco@tobaccopolicycenter.org](mailto:tobacco@tobaccopolicycenter.org)

The Public Health and Tobacco Policy Center is a resource for the New York Department of Health. It is funded by the New York State Department of Health and works with the New York State Tobacco Control Program, the New York Cancer Prevention Program, as well as the programs' contractors and partners to develop and support policy initiatives that will reduce the incidence of cancer and tobacco-related morbidity and mortality.

**This work provides educational materials and research support for policy initiatives. The legal information provided does not constitute and cannot be relied upon as legal advice.**

# Table of Contents

Introduction .....	1
Arguments and Counterarguments: Tobacco-Free Pharmacies.....	2
Economic Concerns .....	2
Tax Concerns .....	3
Government Authority.....	4
Government Necessity .....	5
Slippery Slope .....	6
Public Support Concerns .....	7
Citations .....	8

This page intentionally left blank

## Introduction

Pharmacies bill themselves as a trustworthy healthcare resource, serving the community through promoting healthier lives. Yet over half of New York pharmacies sell tobacco products.<sup>1</sup> Tobacco is an inherently dangerous, potentially deadly product. Allowing its sale by the very same retailer that consumers rely on to fill their healthcare needs – including medications treating their tobacco related illnesses – is incongruous and sends a mixed message.<sup>2</sup> Prohibiting the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies resolves this conflicted messaging.

Pharmacy tobacco sales also imply that pharmacists approve of tobacco use.<sup>3</sup> In truth, most pharmacists, including the American Pharmacists Association and the Pharmacist Society of the State of New York, have formally opposed selling tobacco in pharmacies.<sup>4</sup> Further, eliminating tobacco sales by pharmacies removes the accompanying tobacco marketing and implicit normalization of tobacco products - significant factors in youth tobacco product initiation.

In 2008, San Francisco, CA became the first city in the nation to prohibit the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies.<sup>5</sup> San Francisco's ordinance survived legal challenge and was upheld as a valid exercise of a city's power to promote the public's health.<sup>6</sup> Boston, MA soon followed, noting "[t]he sale of tobacco products is incompatible with the mission of health care institutions because it is detrimental to the public health and undermines efforts to educate patients on the safe and effective use of medication[.]"<sup>7</sup> This logic widely resonated and ordinances prohibiting pharmacy tobacco sales have since been implemented in jurisdictions across the state.<sup>8</sup> The experience of these communities demonstrates a pharmacy ban on tobacco products rests on sound legal footing and is unlikely to result in economic hardship.<sup>9</sup>

This document responds to some common concerns raised over implementing an ordinance prohibiting pharmacies from selling tobacco products.

# Arguments and Counterarguments: Tobacco-Free Pharmacies

## Economic Concerns

### CLAIM

#### 1. Prohibiting pharmacy tobacco sales will cause pharmacies to lose revenue and risk going out of business.

- Tobacco product sales currently make up a very small percentage of total sales at pharmacies, so the potential for revenue loss is minimal.
  - The 2007 economic census reports that tobacco sales account for less than 2% of total sales of pharmacies and drug stores selling tobacco products.<sup>10</sup> In comparison, sales of drugs, health aids, beauty aids, and cosmetics account for 87% of total sales.<sup>11</sup>
- **Ending the sale of tobacco products has had little or no economic effect on pharmacies** in jurisdictions that have implemented pharmacy sale prohibitions or in pharmacies that have voluntarily stopped selling tobacco products.
  - In the year following its tobacco-free pharmacy law, Ontario, Canada saw a net gain of 70 pharmacies (120 opened, 50 closed – fewer closings than the year prior to the ban).<sup>12</sup> Despite pharmacies' opposition citing concerns of job loss and economic hardship, the data fails to show a sales ban resulted in pharmacy closings.<sup>13</sup>
  - Independent pharmacies that voluntarily stopped selling tobacco products have not reported economic loss.<sup>14</sup> For example, a survey conducted in California in 2000 indicated that 88% of independently owned pharmacies that went tobacco-free experienced **no loss or an increase** in business.<sup>15</sup>
  - In Massachusetts, no pharmacy in any of the 25 municipalities with a tobacco-free pharmacy law has issued a written statement detailing income or job loss.<sup>16</sup> Although some store managers voiced economic concerns prior to passage of the law, since implementation those pharmacies have not reported concerns about profit loss.<sup>17</sup>
  - Exaggerated claims of economic hardship caused by tobacco control policies often originate with the tobacco industry.<sup>18</sup> The tobacco industry organizes campaigns to oppose tobacco control policies, but typically keeps its role hidden.<sup>19</sup> Despite the tobacco industry's repeated forecasts of economic disaster, its **claims of economic hardship resulting from tobacco control policies have consistently been unfounded.** For example:
    - Tobacco tax increases have not harmed local retailers, despite tobacco industry (and retailer) predictions to the contrary.<sup>20</sup>
    - Workplace smoke-free laws have not had a negative economic impact on restaurants and bars, despite tobacco industry insistence that they would cause significant losses in business.<sup>21</sup>

- CLAIM**
- 2. A law prohibiting the sale of tobacco in pharmacies could put some stores containing a pharmacy at a competitive disadvantage.**
- **A comprehensive law prohibiting pharmacy tobacco sales would apply equally to all retailers with pharmacies, leveling the economic playing field.**
    - San Francisco attempted a tobacco-free pharmacy law exempting supermarkets and big box stores containing a pharmacy. An appellate court struck down the law, ruling the exemption unfairly differentiated by retailer type in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the United States and California constitutions; a law prohibiting the sale of tobacco in pharmacies must apply equally to all retailers with a pharmacy.<sup>22</sup> (San Francisco has since amended its tobacco-free pharmacy law to apply to all retailers.<sup>23</sup>)
    - Boston’s tobacco sales ban applies to all pharmacies, providing no exemption for grocery or big box stores.<sup>24</sup>
    - The town of Everett, Massachusetts made a narrow exception for retailer Costco Wholesale, which is licensed as both a wholesaler and retailer of cigarettes, to sell cartons of cigarettes wholesale to licensed tobacco retailers.<sup>25</sup> Tobacco sales are still prohibited to all except a licensed retailer.<sup>26</sup> Unlike a general exemption for grocery and big box stores which differentiates by retailer type, this narrow exception legitimately distinguishes sales made directly to consumers from sales to licensed tobacco retailers.

## Tax Concerns

**3. My locality cannot afford the tax revenue loss resulting from a pharmacy tobacco sales ban.**

- CLAIM**
- **A law prohibiting pharmacy tobacco sales would apply only to retailers containing a pharmacy. Licensed tobacco retailers without a pharmacy may continue to sell tobacco products.**
    - Most cigarette purchases are not from pharmacies; tobacco sales are usually at convenience stores and gas stations.
    - Nationwide, only 4.5% of cigarette sales occur in pharmacies.<sup>27</sup>
    - In New York, the vast majority of smokers report purchasing cigarettes at a convenience store or gas station.<sup>28</sup>
    - There is no evidence demonstrating economic harm resulting from a community/locality pharmacy tobacco ban.
    - Any decrease in tobacco sales, and thus decrease in tax revenue, resulting from a pharmacy tobacco sales ban would likely be gradual and due to fewer new tobacco users and an increase in cessation (attributable to decreased exposure to tobacco product marketing – the very point of this ordinance!).

## Government Authority

### 4. The government has no authority to ban tobacco sales by pharmacies.

CLAIM

- States and municipalities are granted police powers, allowing them to enact legislation to promote public health, safety and welfare.<sup>29</sup>
  - Regulating sales of tobacco products fits within this government charge: Tobacco products are inherently dangerous and unique – they are the only product that, when used as intended, will likely kill you.
- The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act explicitly authorizes states and municipalities to regulate the sale of tobacco products.<sup>30</sup>
- **Prohibitions on tobacco sales in pharmacies have been upheld by the courts.** San Francisco’s prohibition was upheld as a valid exercise of the city’s power to promote the public health.<sup>31</sup> Subsequently, many other municipalities, including Boston and various other cities and towns in Massachusetts, have enacted prohibitions **without facing any lawsuits**.<sup>32</sup>
- A pharmacy ban builds on New York’s current regulation of tobacco product sales, including prohibiting sales to minors,<sup>33</sup> requiring age verification through a driver’s license or photo ID,<sup>34</sup> and restricting sales by vending machine.<sup>35</sup>



### 5. The government should not tell pharmacies what they may sell.

CLAIM

- The government has an interest in and the authority to regulate the health, safety, and well-being of the population.
- Regulating sales of harmful and other products is a typical government function. New York State regulations on the sale of dairy products,<sup>36</sup> firearms,<sup>37</sup> and tobacco,<sup>38</sup> are just a few examples.



- Licensing businesses is a well-established method for government to regulate sales. Business owners accept the terms of their license, including its restrictions selling certain products.
- **Pharmacies are health focused so selling tobacco products sends a mixed message.**
  - Pharmacies market themselves as a health care resource. Customers visit them to purchase medications and other products intended to promote health.
  - Pharmacies are increasing their role as direct healthcare providers, dramatically expanding the number of scope of their retail clinics.<sup>39</sup>
  - Tobacco products stand in stark contrast to pharmacies' health care mission. Tobacco products are the most deadly product sold in America, killing up to half of its users when used exactly as intended.<sup>40</sup>
  - Accordingly, pharmacy sales of tobacco products are highly contradictory and permitting their sale broadcasts an implicit message that tobacco products are good for your health.<sup>41</sup>
- Pharmacies cater to customers who are especially vulnerable to tobacco use, such as former users.
  - Customers visit pharmacies to purchase medicines to treat their tobacco related diseases and for assistance with tobacco product cessation. Selling cigarettes and other tobacco products alongside these medications and cessations aids is contradictory and potentially detrimental to smoking cessation efforts and the public health.<sup>42</sup>
  - Finally, because tobacco products themselves cause numerous diseases for which pharmacies sell medications and treatments, it is a conflict of interest for pharmacies to sell tobacco products. In effect, pharmacies that sell tobacco products simultaneously sell products that cause and cure the same diseases.<sup>43</sup>

## Government Necessity

### 6. Some pharmacies have voluntarily stopped selling tobacco– is a government mandate needed?

CLAIM

- Implementation of a voluntary policy is uncommon and unlikely at most chain pharmacies.
  - **99% of large chain pharmacies in New York still sell tobacco products.**<sup>44</sup> These pharmacies make up roughly one-third of all licensed pharmacies in New York.<sup>45</sup> In addition, 88% of grocery stores containing pharmacies still sell tobacco products.<sup>46</sup> Overall, 52% of all pharmacies in the state still sell tobacco products.<sup>47</sup>

- Unlike independent pharmacies and pharmacies affiliated with hospitals and clinics, which have overwhelmingly voluntarily stopped selling tobacco, chain pharmacies continue to sell tobacco products. (Just 15% of independent pharmacies and zero hospital or clinic pharmacies still sell tobacco products.<sup>48</sup>)
- Chain pharmacies are typically corporate entities less influenced by pressure from community values and organizations than independent and clinically affiliated pharmacies.<sup>49</sup>
- Local franchises may not have authority to voluntarily stop selling tobacco without a government mandate.
- Voluntary sales bans in other jurisdictions have proven insufficient.
  - Chain pharmacies nominal rates of implementing a voluntary tobacco sales ban in San Francisco bolstered support for San Francisco's 2008 tobacco-free pharmacy law.<sup>50</sup>
  - In Canada, voluntary efforts to ban the sale of tobacco products had only limited success.<sup>51</sup> As a result, eight provinces (Ontario, Quebec, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Newfoundland, Labrador, and Prince Edward Island) enacted laws prohibiting the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies.<sup>52</sup>
- **Voluntary policies are ineffective:** An enforceable government mandate is necessary to ensure implementation of a pharmacy tobacco sales ban.

## Slippery Slope

### 7. Tobacco products are unhealthy, but so are many products, such as alcohol and junk foods. What is next?

#### CLAIM

- Tobacco products are unique.
  - They are the most deadly product sold in America and the only legal product that, when used exactly as intended, will kill up to half of its long-term users.<sup>53</sup>
  - Virtually all new tobacco product users are youth,<sup>54</sup> and the tobacco industry has a record of impermissibly targeting youth with its marketing.<sup>55</sup>
  - The majority of consumers do not want to use the product: most smokers want to quit, and most smokers try to quit.<sup>56</sup>
- The Surgeon General has identified tobacco use as a pediatric epidemic and limiting tobacco product displays and marketing as one instrument to help combat it.<sup>57</sup>
- Unlike some retail outlets, visiting a pharmacy may not be a choice.
- Prohibiting pharmacy tobacco sales are an appropriate legislative response to public health crises caused by tobacco product use.

## Public Support Concerns

### 8. New Yorkers do not support a tobacco-free pharmacy law.

CLAIM

- New Yorkers widely support prohibiting tobacco sales in pharmacies.
  - 69% of residents of the Capital Region think that pharmacies should not sell tobacco products.<sup>58</sup>
  - 73% of residents of Broome County think that pharmacies should not sell tobacco products.<sup>59</sup>
  - 56% of residents of Hudson Valley and Long Island think that pharmacies should not sell tobacco products.<sup>60</sup>
  - 58% of residents of New York City favor a law that would ban tobacco sales in pharmacies.<sup>61</sup>

### 9. Pharmacists do not support a ban on the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies.

CLAIM

- Pharmacists overwhelmingly support a ban on pharmacy sales of tobacco products.<sup>62</sup>
  - Since 1971 the American Pharmacists Association has opposed the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies.<sup>63</sup>
  - In July 2009, the House of Delegates of the Pharmacist Society of the State of New York passed a resolution supporting efforts to end the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies. The Pharmacist Society is the largest pharmacy association in New York State and represents nearly 2,700 pharmacists.<sup>64</sup>
  - 75% of pharmacists polled in western New York support a law prohibiting the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies.<sup>65</sup>
  - 82% of California pharmacists surveyed for a 2006 report were against or strongly against tobacco sales in pharmacies, while less than 2% favored of such sales.<sup>66</sup>



## Citations

---

- <sup>1</sup> *Prescription for Change: New York Pharmacy Facts*, TobaccofreeNYS.org, <http://www.tobaccofreenys.org/pdf/New-York-Pharmacy-Facts.pdf> (last visited Mar. 22, 2012) (referencing data compiled by the Siena College Research Institute on April 15, 2010, comparing information from the New York State Department of Health and the New York State Department of Taxation & Finance).
- <sup>2</sup> See K. Suchanek Hudmon et al., *Tobacco Sales in Pharmacies: Time to Quit*, 15 TOBACCO CONTROL 35, 37(2006).
- <sup>3</sup> *Id.* (“By selling tobacco products, pharmacies convey tacit approval to their purchase and use; this, in turn, sends a mixed message to consumers, who typically patronise pharmacies to receive health care services.”)
- <sup>4</sup> See American Pharmacists Association, *Exclusion of Alcohol and Tobacco Sales in Pharmacy Practice Settings*, <http://www.pharmacist.com/policy/exclusion-alcohol-and-tobacco-sales-pharmacy-practice-settings-1> (last visited March 29, 2013); and *Prescription for Change: New York Pharmacy Facts*, *supra* note 1.
- <sup>5</sup> S.F., Cal., *Endorsing the Proposed Ordinance to Prohibit Pharmacies from Selling Tobacco Products*, Res. No. 14-08 (July 15, 2008), *available at* <http://www.sfdph.org/dph/files/hc/HCRes/Resolutions/2008Res/HCRes142008.pdf>.
- <sup>6</sup> *Walgreen Co. v. City and County of San Francisco*, 185 Cal. App. 4th 424, 436-37, 439 (Cal. Ct. App. 2010); *and see* S.F., CAL., HEALTH CODE art. 19J, §§ 1009.91, 1009.92 (2013), *available at* [http://www.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/health/article19jprohibitingpharmaciesfromsellif=templates\\$fn=default.htm\\$3.0\\$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco\\_ca\\$anc=0-0-0-1353](http://www.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/health/article19jprohibitingpharmaciesfromsellif=templates$fn=default.htm$3.0$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca$anc=0-0-0-1353).
- <sup>7</sup> See Boston, Mass., *Restricting the Sale of Tobacco Products in the City of Boston* (Dec. 11, 2008), *available at* [http://www.bphc.org/boardofhealth/regulations/Forms%20%20Documents/regs\\_TobaccoRestrictionRegulation\\_12-11-08.pdf](http://www.bphc.org/boardofhealth/regulations/Forms%20%20Documents/regs_TobaccoRestrictionRegulation_12-11-08.pdf).
- <sup>8</sup> *Briefing Sheet: Tobacco Sales Bans in Health Care Institutions (Pharmacies)*, MAKESMOKINGHISTORY.ORG, [http://www.makesmokinghistory.org/uploads/Briefing\\_Sheet\\_Tobacco\\_Bans\\_Health\\_Care\\_Institutions.pdf](http://www.makesmokinghistory.org/uploads/Briefing_Sheet_Tobacco_Bans_Health_Care_Institutions.pdf) (last visited March 29, 2013).
- <sup>9</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>10</sup> U.S. Dep’t of Commerce, *Retail Trade: Subject Series - Product Lines: Product Lines Statistics by Kind of Business for the United States and States: 2007 Economic Census*, UNITED STATES CENSUS BUREAU, [http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ECN\\_2007\\_US\\_44SLLS1&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ECN_2007_US_44SLLS1&prodType=table) (last visited Mar. 22, 2013).
- <sup>11</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>12</sup> Mark C. Taylor, *Banning Cigarette Sales in Pharmacies Does Not Result in Pharmacy Closures*, smoke-free.ca, [http://www.smoke-free.ca/pdf\\_1/pharmacy.PDF](http://www.smoke-free.ca/pdf_1/pharmacy.PDF) (last visited Mar. 22, 2013).
- <sup>13</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>14</sup> See, e.g., *How Californians Really Feel About Tobacco in Pharmacies . . .*, PRESCRIPTION FOR CHANGE (Oct. 2000), <http://www.thecmafoundation.org/rxchange/pdfs/otherpdfs/califax.pdf>.
- <sup>15</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>16</sup> *Briefing Sheet: Tobacco Sales Bans in Health Care Institutions (Pharmacies)*, *supra* note 8.
- <sup>17</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>18</sup> *Id.*
- <sup>19</sup> CAMPAIGN FOR TOBACCO FREE KIDS, *DEADLY ALLIANCE: HOW BIG TOBACCO AND CONVENIENCE STORES PARTNER TO MARKET TOBACCO PRODUCTS AND FIGHT LIFE-SAVING POLICIES* 11 (March 5, 2012), *available at* [http://www.tobaccofreekids.org/content/what we do/industry\\_watch/store\\_report/deadlyalliance\\_full\\_report.pdf](http://www.tobaccofreekids.org/content/what_we_do/industry_watch/store_report/deadlyalliance_full_report.pdf).

<sup>20</sup> Jidong Huang & Frank J. Chaloupka, *The Economic Impact of State Cigarette Taxes and Smoke-Free Air Policies on Convenience Stores*, 10 TOBACCO CONTROL 1136 (Nov. 1, 2011), available at <http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/early/2011/11/01/tobaccocontrol-2011-050185.short>.

<sup>21</sup> Michael Erikson & Frank Chaloupka, *The Economic Impact of Clean Indoor Air Laws*, 57 CA CANCER J. CLIN. 367, 373 (Nov./Dec. 2007), available at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.3322/CA.57.6.367/pdf>.

<sup>22</sup> Walgreen Co. v. City and County of San Francisco, 185 Cal. App. 4th 424, 436-37, 439 (Cal. Ct. App. 2010).

<sup>23</sup> See S.F., Cal., HEALTH CODE art. 19J, §§ 1009.91, 1009.92 (2013), available at [http://www.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/health/article19jprohibitingpharmaciesfromsellif=templates\\$fn=default.htm\\$3.0\\$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco\\_ca\\$sanc=0-0-0-1353](http://www.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/health/article19jprohibitingpharmaciesfromsellif=templates$fn=default.htm$3.0$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca$sanc=0-0-0-1353).

<sup>24</sup> See Boston, Mass., Restricting the Sale of Tobacco Products in the City of Boston (Dec. 11, 2008), available at [http://www.bphc.org/boardofhealth/regulations/Forms%20%20Documents/regs\\_TobaccoRestrictionRegulation\\_12-11-08.pdf](http://www.bphc.org/boardofhealth/regulations/Forms%20%20Documents/regs_TobaccoRestrictionRegulation_12-11-08.pdf).

<sup>25</sup> Briefing Sheet: Tobacco Sales Bans in Health Care Institutions (Pharmacies), *supra* note 8.

<sup>26</sup> *Id.*

<sup>27</sup> Andrew B. Seidenberg et al., *Cigarette Sales in Pharmacies in the U.S.A. (2005-2009)*, 21 TOBACCO CONTROL 509, 509 (2012). The study only surveyed standalone pharmacies, and did not include grocery and mass merchant stores that also sell pharmaceuticals. *Id.* at 510. The study projected that the percentage of cigarette sales in pharmacies would rise to 14.59% by 2020. *Id.* at 510.

<sup>28</sup> NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, CIGARETTE PURCHASING PATTERNS AMONG NEW YORK SMOKERS: IMPLICATIONS FOR HEALTH, PRICE AND REVENUE 5-6 (March 2006), available at [http://www.health.ny.gov/prevention/tobacco\\_control/docs/cigarette\\_purchasing\\_patterns.pdf](http://www.health.ny.gov/prevention/tobacco_control/docs/cigarette_purchasing_patterns.pdf).

<sup>29</sup> See, e.g., Safeway Inc. v. City and County of San Francisco, 797 F. Supp. 2d 964, 971-73 (N.D. Cal. 2011) (upholding San Francisco's prohibition on the sale of tobacco products in pharmacies as a valid exercise of the state's police powers because it promotes the public health).

<sup>30</sup> 21 U.S.C.A. § 387p (2009).

<sup>31</sup> See Phillip Morris USA, Inc. v. City and County of San Francisco, 345 Fed. Appx. 276, 277 (9th Cir. 2009) (holding that the San Francisco ban is not a Free Speech violation); Safeway Inc. v. City and County of San Francisco, 797 F. Supp. 2d 964, 971-73 (N.D. Cal. 2011) (upholding the amended San Francisco ban, which prohibits tobacco sales in all stores containing a pharmacy).

<sup>32</sup> Briefing Sheet: Tobacco Sales Bans in Health Care Institutions (Pharmacies), *supra* note 8.

<sup>33</sup> N.Y. PUB. HEALTH LAW § 1399-cc (McKinney, Westlaw through L. 2013, ch. 6).

<sup>34</sup> N.Y. Pub. Health Law § 1399-bb(4) (McKinney, Westlaw through L. 2013, ch. 6).

<sup>35</sup> N.Y. PUB. HEALTH LAW § 1399-dd (McKinney, Westlaw through L. 2013, ch. 6).

<sup>36</sup> See N.Y. AGRIC. & MKTS. LAW § 46-a (McKinney, Westlaw through L. 2013, ch. 6).

<sup>37</sup> See N.Y. GEN. BUS. LAW §§ 396-ee, 897 (McKinney, Westlaw through L. 2013, ch. 6).

<sup>38</sup> See N.Y. TAX LAW § 480 (McKinney, Westlaw through L. 2013, ch. 6).

<sup>39</sup> See DELOITTE, RETAIL MEDICAL CLINICS: UPDATE AND IMPLICATIONS (2009), available at [http://www.deloitte.com/assets/Dcom-UnitedStates/Local%20Assets/Documents/us\\_chs\\_RetailClinics\\_111209.pdf](http://www.deloitte.com/assets/Dcom-UnitedStates/Local%20Assets/Documents/us_chs_RetailClinics_111209.pdf); GBI Research, Retail Clinics - 2012 Yearbook, [http://www.gbiresearch.com/Report.aspx?ID=Retail-Clinics-2012-Yearbook&Title=Pharmaceuticals\\_and\\_Healthcare&ReportType=Industry\\_Report](http://www.gbiresearch.com/Report.aspx?ID=Retail-Clinics-2012-Yearbook&Title=Pharmaceuticals_and_Healthcare&ReportType=Industry_Report) (reporting a dramatic rise in the number of national pharmacy retail clinics, from 202 in 2006 to 1,355 in 2011 and forecast as 2,854 by 2018, as well as expansion in scope services offered).

<sup>40</sup> WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, TOBACCO FACTS, [http://www.who.int/tobacco/mpower/tobacco\\_facts/en/](http://www.who.int/tobacco/mpower/tobacco_facts/en/) (last visited March 29, 2013).

<sup>41</sup> See Hudmon, *supra* note 2, at 38; and Mitchell H. Katz, *Banning Tobacco Sales in Pharmacies: The Right Prescription*, 300 J. AM. MED. ASS. 1451, 1451 (Sept. 24, 2008).

<sup>42</sup> See Katz, *supra* note 42.

<sup>43</sup> See *id.*

<sup>44</sup> *Prescription for Change: New York Pharmacy Facts*, *supra* note 1.

<sup>45</sup> *Id.*

<sup>46</sup> *Id.*

<sup>47</sup> *Id.*

<sup>48</sup> *Id.*

<sup>49</sup> See Katz, *supra* note 42; see also B. Eule et al., *Merchandising of Cigarettes in San Francisco Pharmacies: 27 Years Later*, 13 TOBACCO CONTROL 429, 430 (2004).

<sup>50</sup> Katz, *supra* note 42 at 1452; and Eule, *supra* note 50 (comparing pharmacies by type offering cigarettes for sale between 1976 and 2003 and finding traditional chain pharmacies declined from 100% to 93.8%, independent pharmacies declined from 90.6% to 24.1%, and clinically affiliated pharmacies declined from 58.3% to 0%).

<sup>51</sup> Katz, *supra* note 42 at 1452.

<sup>52</sup> *Id.* at 1451.

<sup>53</sup> WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, TOBACCO FACTS, [http://www.who.int/tobacco/mpower/tobacco\\_facts/en/](http://www.who.int/tobacco/mpower/tobacco_facts/en/) (last visited March 29, 2013).

<sup>54</sup> U.S. DEP'T. OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVS., PREVENTING TOBACCO USE AMONG YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULTS: A REPORT OF THE SURGEON GENERAL 508 (2012), available at <http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/preventing-youth-tobacco-use/index.html>.

<sup>55</sup> *Id.* at 508, 517-522.

<sup>56</sup> Ctr. for Disease Control & Prevention, *New Study Shows Smokers Underutilize Proven Treatment and Services for Quitting*, [http://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2011/p1110\\_smoking\\_treatment.html](http://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2011/p1110_smoking_treatment.html).

<sup>57</sup> U.S. DEP'T. OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVS., PREVENTING TOBACCO USE AMONG YOUTH AND YOUNG ADULTS: A REPORT OF THE SURGEON GENERAL ES-1 (2012), available at <http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/preventing-youth-tobacco-use/index.html>.

<sup>58</sup> *Prescription for Change: New York Pharmacy Facts*, *supra* note 1.

<sup>59</sup> *Id.*

<sup>60</sup> *Id.*

<sup>61</sup> *Id.*

<sup>62</sup> See Hudmon, *supra* note 2; Danielle M. Smith et al., *Tobacco Sales in Pharmacies: A Survey of Attitudes, Knowledge, and Beliefs of Pharmacists Employed in Student Experiential and Other Worksites in Western New York*, BMC RESEARCH NOTES 1 (Aug. 6, 2012), <http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1756-0500-5-413.pdf>.

<sup>63</sup> Smith, *supra* note 62.

<sup>64</sup> *Prescription for Change: New York Pharmacy Facts*, *supra* note 1.

<sup>65</sup> Smith, *supra* note 62.

<sup>66</sup> Hudmon, *supra* note 2.



## *Providing legal expertise to support policies benefiting the public health.*

The **Public Health and Tobacco Policy Center** is a legal research Center within the Public Health Advocacy Institute. Our shared goal is to support and enhance a commitment to public health in individuals and institutes who shape public policy through law. We are committed to research in public health law, public health policy development; to legal technical assistance; and to collaborative work at the intersection of law and public health. Our current areas of work include tobacco control and chronic disease prevention. We are housed at the Northeastern University School of Law in Boston, Massachusetts.

### What We Do

#### Research & Information Services

- analyze and contextualize the legal landscape and scientific evidence base for emerging issues in tobacco control and other public health policy areas
- develop model policies for implementation at the organizational, municipal, or state level
- compile and analyze policy initiatives and litigation related to impactful health policy

#### Legal Technical Assistance

- assist local governments with identifying effective, feasible policy responses addressing public health concerns
- draft tailored policies to address municipalities' unique concerns
- assist local governments with policy enactment and implementation

#### Education & Outreach

- conduct in-person and online trainings that convey the legal landscape for promising policy interventions, their potential impact on a public health problem, best practices, common obstacles, and lessons learned
- facilitate strategic planning for public health agencies and other regulators
- maintain website featuring technical reports, model policies, fact sheets, toolkits, story maps, summaries of tobacco control laws
- impact development of national and federal tobacco control laws and regulations, including through collaboration with partners and *amicus curiae* briefs

### Find Us Online

#### [www.tobaccopolicycenter.org](http://www.tobaccopolicycenter.org)

The Policy Center's website provides information about local policy interventions to improve population health. We highlight factors driving tobacco use and policy solutions addressing these factors; authority and rationale for implementing local tobacco controls, and relevant federal, state, and local policies in effect in New York State. We provide contextualized summaries of recent court cases affecting tobacco product and sales regulation, newsletter summaries of relevant current issues, and more. The website provides convenient access to the Policy Center's technical reports, toolkits, model policies, fact sheets, presentations, and story maps.

#### [twitter.com/TobaccoPolicy](https://twitter.com/TobaccoPolicy)

#### [facebook.com/TobaccoPolicy](https://facebook.com/TobaccoPolicy)

Follow us on Twitter and Facebook for policy updates and current events.

### Requests for Legal Technical Assistance

The Public Health and Tobacco Policy Center provides legal background and policy guidance for research, development, and implementation of tobacco control strategies and policies. We do not represent clients or provide legal advice. The Policy Center is a resource for the New York tobacco control community. Individuals from state-funded coalitions and local governments may contact us with tobacco-related legal or policy issues at [tobacco@tobaccopolicycenter.org](mailto:tobacco@tobaccopolicycenter.org).



Public Health Advocacy Institute at Northeastern University School of Law  
360 Huntington Avenue, 117CU • Boston, MA 02115  
[tobaccopolicycenter.org](http://tobaccopolicycenter.org) • 617.373.8494