Why Regulate the Sale of Flavored Tobacco Products?

Characterizing flavors do not simply increase the general appeal of tobacco products, but also provide an avenue for marketing the products to youth. Flavored tobacco products are packaged and marketed in ways that appeal to youth. They typically come in brightly colored packaging, emphasizing their sweet flavoring, including youth-friendly flavors such as grape, cherry, and cotton candy. Tobacco products—including flavored products, which are sold by most stores—are often displayed in the front of the store near candy or at kids’ eye level. E-cigarette flavors are particularly egregious, as is their marketing, which is not yet regulated and can be found not just in the retail environment, but through other media prohibited for conventional tobacco products.

Youth tobacco users often begin with flavored products and, overall, use flavored tobacco products at high rates. Characterizing (detectible) flavors in cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, and e-cigarettes tend to mask the harshness of tobacco and other constituents; thus users may use flavored products with greater frequency, inhale more deeply, and perceive flavored products to be safer than other products. Flavor compounds in e-cigarettes were found to be potentially toxic, creating a health risk even in nicotine-free form.

Evidence shows that menthol-flavored products in particular are more addictive and harder to quit. In 2012, menthol flavored cigarettes accounted for nearly one-third of the cigarette market.

After the FDA banned characterizing flavors in cigarettes, an adolescent’s probability of becoming a cigarette smoker declined. In an evaluation of New York City’s restriction on the sale of flavored tobacco products other than cigarettes, researchers found that after the ban, teens were less likely to ever try flavored tobacco and less likely to ever try any type of tobacco.

Review our technical report on Tobacco Retail Licensing to understand how local governments may regulate where and how tobacco products are sold.
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